

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/706,004	11/12/2003	Alfred J. Bilotti	PAT-1461-CON	6539
7:	590 05/03/2004		EXAMINER	
Law Offices of Raymond Sun 12420 Woodhall Way			SAWHNEY, HARGOBIND S	
Tustin, CA 92			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	

DATE MAILED: 05/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		9hr				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/706,004	BILOTTI, ALFRED J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hargobind S Sawhney	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 N	lovember 2003.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	olosion roquiromonia					
Application Papers						
,)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	. 					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/12/2003.		I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/706,004 Page 2

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment filed on November 12, 2003 has been entered.

Accordingly:

- Claims 1-10 have been cancelled;
- New claims 11-16 have been added.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/706,004

Art Unit: 2875

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 10-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over Claims 7-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,616 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of the following reasons.

Subject matter claimed in the instant application is disclosed and covered in the Marsh (U.S. Patent No. 6,135,620), since Marsh (U.S. Patent No. 6,135,620) and the instant application are basically claiming common subject matter as follows:

Instant	References:	Discussion on differences and additional
Application	U.S. Patent No.	References
10,706,004	6,659,616 B1	
Claims 11-13	Claim 7	Substantially identical, Claim 7, lines 1-17
Claim 14	Claims 7 and 8	Substantially identical, Claim 8, lines 1-4
Claim 15	Claims 7-9	Substantially identical, Claim 1, lines 4-7 and 13-16
Claim 16	Claim7, 8 and	Substantially identical, Claim 10, lines 1-3

It would be have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to meet the limitations of claims 11-16 with the features recited in Claim 7-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,659,616 B1.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kuelbs (U.S. Patent No. 6,612,713 B1

Each of the above-indicated prior arts discloses a patio umbrella assembly comprising some of the claimed features claimed by the applicant.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hargobind S Sawhney whose telephone number is 571-272-2380. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:15 - 2:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-93067724 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 10/706,004

Art Unit: 2875

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-2956.

HSS

April 24, 2004

THOMAS M. SEMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5